# **nHz gravitational waves with PTAs:** their sources and electromagnetic counterparts

### **Jessie Runnoe**

Vanderbilt University; Fisk University

AAS #245 Wednesday, January 15, 2025

Collaborators: C. Dabbieri, M. Charisi, M. Eracleous, T. Bogandović, S. Taylor



### **SMBHBs:** an inevitable product of galaxy mergers?







### **SMBHBs:** orbital evolution



based on the work of Begelman et al. (1980)

### **SMBHBs:** lifecycle and methods for finding them



X-rays, "spectroastrometry" Comerford et al. (2015) Foord et al. (2020) Bound BH <10 pc

Close binary  $<$ 1 pc



(2021)

ngVLA is a binary discovery workhorse (Wilner et al. 2024; Burke-Spolaor et al. 2018)

radio interferometry Rodriguez et al. (2006), Burke-Spolaor et al. (2011), Bansal et al. (2017), Breiding et al. RV, Fe Kα, SED, light curves, varastrometry This talk, McKernan et al. (2013), Gültekin & Miller (2012), Graham et al. (2015), Charisi et al. (2016), Liu et al. (2014), Hwang et al. (2020)



![](_page_4_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **RV method:** the physical picture

### **The search:** one black hole active

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

- ๏ Hypothesis: quasars with single-peaked velocity offset Hβ are the active secondary in a binary.
- ๏ Selection: 88 Sloan quasars
	- $0.1 < z < 0.8$

### Binary candidates:

Single peaked velocity offset Hβ.

Eracleous et al. (2012)

![](_page_5_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_10.jpeg)

### **The search:** Radial velocity curves for ~100 candidates

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

Runnoe et al. (2017)

(2013), Shen et al. (2013), Liu et al. (2014), Guo et al. (2019)

(2021)

### **The main interloper:** Regular quasar variability

# **30 Years of NGC 5548** H<sub>B</sub> & Continuum Variability 1972-2002

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

Sergei Sergeev (CrAO) Richard Pogge (OSU) **Bradley Peterson (OSU)** 

![](_page_7_Picture_4.jpeg)

- ๏ Profile variability in a single quasars. (Bon et al. 2016; Li et al. 2016)
- ๏ Shape changes include: flux, centroid, width, asymmetry, boxiness, number of peaks.
- ๏ All of these complicate the effort to identify radial velocity trends due to orbital motion. (e.g., Shen et al. 2013; Runnoe et al. 2017)

![](_page_7_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **The main interloper:** What about timescales?

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_2.jpeg)

100

### **What causes profile variability?**

- ๏ Reverberation on short timescales. e.g., Barth et al. (2017)
- ๏ May be due to other physical mechanisms on long timescales. Sergeev et al. (2007); Guo et al. (2019); Doan et al. (2020); Fries et al. (2023)

### **Future:** large time-domain spectroscopic surveys ↑ peak at 8758  $\Delta t$  < 5 yr Bin 4000 C. Dabbieri **SDSS V** per Dabbieri et al. (in prep.)Number - Uncertainty Given by  $\chi^2_{min} + 1$  in Cross-Correlation 2000 SBHB Candidates Entertainment 200  $120 -$ Pilot Sample Fitted Uncertainty  $\Delta t$  < 2 Days Uncertainty (Kozlowski 2017 Eq. 20)  $\begin{array}{c}\n\boxed{\infty}100\\
\overline{\text{E}} & 80\n\end{array}$ 150 previou s  $\circ$ 100 500 1000 1500  $\Delta v_{rad}$  $60 \Delta t = 5 - 15$  yr 50  $\overline{\mathrm{SE}}$ 300  $40 20 \Gamma$ 200  $10^3$  $10<sup>0</sup>$  $10^{1}$  $10^2$ Rest Frame Time Lag (days)  $00$  $\circ$ 2000 3000 5000 4000 Time Interval (days)

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_3.jpeg)

### **Periodic brightness changes:** via multiple mechanisms

### NASA GSFC/S. Noble

- ๏ Bright quasar-like emission.
- ๏ Periodic variability.

![](_page_10_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

Slide credit: M. Charisi

### **Periodic brightness changes:** the challenge

- ๏ Main interloper: regular quasar variability
- ๏ Red noise mimics periodicity and hinders detection. Davis et al. incl. JCR (2024)
- ๏ The current samples contain false detections. Sesana et al. (2018)
- ๏ Need more orbital cycles and/or complementary approaches.

![](_page_11_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Periodic brightness changes:** the future is bright

๏ LSST data quality helps detection, AGN variability hinders it.

![](_page_12_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_8.jpeg)

### Slide credit: modified from M. Charisi

- ๏ AGN sample 2-3 orders of magnitude larger than we currently have.
- ๏ Unprecedented data quality.

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **SMBHBs:** lifecycle and methods for finding them

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Multi-messenger approach:** and loud

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **Multi-messenger approach:** An EM+GW framework

- ๏ Orbital dynamics connect at least period in EM+GW, potentially amplitude and phase.
- ๏ Many approaches to multimessenger astronomy.
- ๏ A pipeline for joint analysis of photometric light curves and PTA data sets. Charisi et al. (in prep.)
- ๏ PTA improvements from ngVLA: benefits of high-frequency sensitivity

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Summary:** The future is loud and bright

### Supermassive black hole binaries

Close binaries will help constrain binary evolution so we are motivated to find them. Radial velocity monitoring reveals compelling candidates but more tests are needed to rule out single quasars. Ultraviolet spectra provide one such test that has proven effective.

### Where do we go from here?

A new window is opening on quasar variability with enormous investments in the time domain. Also, PTAs will improve with time. ngVLA will contribute both to the PTA effort and direct detection of SBHBs. We aim for multi-messenger EM+GW detections of SBHBs.

## Multi-messenger opportunities

Time domain surveys like Vera Rubin Observatory's Legacy Survey of Space and Time or SDSS V will be machines for identifying binaries, but regular quasar variability presents a challenge. The combination of electromagnetic signals with gravitational waves from pulsar timing arrays will be a powerful approach to find binaries.