



Title: Reference Observing Program	Owner: Wrobel	Date: 2019-05-20
NRAO Doc. #: 020.10.15.05.10-0001-REP		Version: A



Reference Observing Program

020.10.15.05.10-0001-REP

PREPARED BY	ORGANIZATION	DATE
J. M. Wrobel	NRAO	2019-04-26
E. Murphy, Science Support IPT Lead	ngVLA/NM-Ops, NRAO	2019-05-06

APPROVALS (Name and Signature)	ORGANIZATION	DATE
E. Murphy, Project Scientist	ngVLA/NM- Operations, NRAO	
M. McKinnon, Project Director	Asst. Director, NM- Operations, NRAO	2019-05-19

RELEASED BY (Name and Signature)	ORGANIZATION	DATE
M. McKinnon, Project Director	Asst. Director, NM-Ops, NRAO	2019-05-20



Title: Reference Observing Program	Owner: Wrobel	Date: 2019-05-20
NRAO Doc. #: 020.10.15.05.10-0001-REP		Version: A

Change Record

Version	Date	Reason
0.3	2019-02-14	Incorporate v0.3 ppt and weather conditions
1.0	2019-04-26	Mend English and pdf's missing equations, strange symbols
A	2019-05-20	Prepared document for release



Title: Reference Observing Program	Owner: Wrobel	Date: 2019-05-20
NRAO Doc. #: 020.10.15.05.10-0001-REP		Version: A

Table of Contents

1	Charge and Approach	4
2	Assumptions	4
3	Build the Reference Observing Program.....	5
3.1	<i>KSG1: Unveiling the Formation of Solar System Analogs on Terrestrial Scales</i>	8
3.2	<i>KSG2: Probing the Initial Conditions for Planetary Systems and Life with Astrochemistry.....</i>	9
3.3	<i>KSG3: Charting the Assembly, Structure, and Evolution of Galaxies from the First Billion Years to the Present.....</i>	9
3.3.1	Blind Search for CO Galaxies at High Redshift.....	9
3.3.2	Imaging Molecular Gas in CO Galaxies at High Redshift.....	9
3.3.3	Imaging Molecular Gas in Nearby Galaxies	10
3.3.4	HI Emission from Nearby Galaxies	10
3.3.5	HI Emission Around Nearby Galaxies.....	10
3.4	<i>KSG4: Using Pulsars in the Galactic Center as Fundamental Tests of Gravity.....</i>	11
3.5	<i>KSG5: Understanding the Formation and Evolution of Stellar and Supermassive Black Holes in the Era of Multi-Messenger Astronomy</i>	11
3.5.1	Localize a LIGO Event.....	11
3.5.2	Proper Motion of a LIGO Event.....	12
3.5.3	Localize a LISA Event.....	12
3.5.4	Search for Black Holes and Pulsars in the Galactic Center.....	12
3.5.5	Time Pulsars for the Pulsar Timing Array.....	13
4	Evaluation of the Reference Observing Program.....	13
5	Next Steps	13
6	Appendix.....	15
6.1	<i>Acknowledgments</i>	15
6.2	<i>Reference Documents.....</i>	15



Title: Reference Observing Program	Owner: Wrobel	Date: 2019-05-20
NRAO Doc. #: 020.10.15.05.10-0001-REP		Version: A

1 Charge and Approach

This document presents an analysis of whether or not the ngVLA Reference Design (Selina et al. 2018a) can reach the Key Science Goals (KSGs) in the array’s first decade. The approach is to build an ngVLA Reference Observing Program (ROP). This involves systematically quantifying the technical and observing needs of the driving use cases of the KSGs identified in the ngVLA Science Requirements (Murphy et al. 2018). This analysis also folds in the ancillary information needed to evaluate the ROP.

It must be emphasized that this exercise is notional, intended only to gauge whether the ngVLA Reference Design can do what the community projects will be the highest priority science (Bolatto et al. 2017). The ROP should not be construed as dictating how science will play out during the array’s first decade. As a proposal-driven facility, the ngVLA will be able to adapt to the evolving science landscape.

2 Assumptions

For context and simplicity, the analysis begins by casting the science time in Year 1 to Year 10 as wall-clock hours. A year holds ~365 days x 24 h/day ~8760 h, where h denotes hours. Barring a single-point failure, the ngVLA aims to achieve a science efficiency of 100% (Selina et al. 2018b). This means that at least one subarray will always be available for science observing. Realistically, it may take a few years to reach such perfection. Conservatively assuming a science efficiency of ~70% during the first three years, referred to as the “learning” years, thus implies ~6000 h of science observing during each of those years.

Assuming a technical readiness to begin key science observing on 2034-01-01, Year 1 is thus CY2034. To accommodate weather conditions, the ROP adopts the preliminary estimates for the science time available per Local Sidereal Time (LST) per band (Butler 2019). These estimates will be conveyed in later figures. To accommodate maintenance activities, the ROP assumes that a science subarray will observe with 95% of its antennas (Selina et al. 2018b).

The ROP assumes the science subarrays and their performance metrics as tabulated by Rosero (2019). To accommodate the quantized resolutions appearing in the performance tables, it will sometimes be necessary to modify the angular resolutions mentioned in the use cases. For the reader’s convenience, Table 1 replicates Rosero’s definitions of the science subarrays. In Table 1, LBA stands for Long Baseline Array, and the Mid-baseline subarray is defined as the Main subarray minus the Plains + Core subarray.

Science Subarray	Minimum Baseline (km)	Maximum Baseline (km)	Number of 18m Antennas
Main + LBA	0.027	8856.4	244
LBA	32.6 ¹	8856.4	30
Main	0.027	1005.4	214
Mid-baseline	7.747	1005.4	46
Plains + Core	0.027	36.5	168
Core	0.027	1.3	94

Table 1 - ngVLA science subarray definitions.

1. Excluding the very short baselines within stations.



Title: Reference Observing Program	Owner: Wrobel	Date: 2019-05-20
NRAO Doc. #: 020.10.15.05.10-0001-REP		Version: A

For other than the LBA, Table 2 shows the preliminary and conservative estimates for the calibration overheads (*Ove*) as a function of the angular resolution (*Res*) from Selena (2018b). For the LBA, phase referencing in the nodding style is assumed for Bands 1–5 and thus $Ove \sim 1.0$ is adopted (Wrobel et al. 2000). *Ove* is defined in the sense that $T_{subarray} = T_{target} * (1 + Ove)$, where $T_{subarray}$ is the time needed on a science subarray and T_{target} is the time needed on a science target.

Band 1		Band 2		Band 3		Band 4		Band 5		Band 6	
Res (mas)	Ove										
26000	0.20	7700	0.25	3800	0.50	2300	0.75	1500	0.75	700	1.00
2600	0.20	770	0.25	380	0.50	230	1.00	150	1.00	70	1.50
260	0.20	77	0.35	38	0.75	23	1.50	15	1.50	7	2.00

Table 2 - Calibration overhead as a function of angular resolution, per ngVLA band.

Band 6 is assumed to be viable from ~2 h after sunset until sunrise (Selena et al. 2018c).

For its initial release, it is assumed this document should devise a methodology and conduct a preliminary evaluation of the ROP for the “learning” years, labelled Year 1, 2 and 3. To that end, this document attempts to assign key science pilots that make significant progress toward the KSGs. Some use cases were quite quantitative, making it easy to suggest reasonable pilots. Other use cases were more aspirational, making it harder but not impossible to suggest reasonable pilots. The ROP assumes ~2000 h per year of key science, likely involving many known users. This leaves the balance of ~4000 h per year for open science, signaling that all users and all ideas are welcomed by this new world-class facility.

3 Build the Reference Observing Program

The ROP is expressed in terms of the subarray times needed by the driving use cases of the KSGs. This document first establishes the technical needs of the use cases. It then estimates the subarray times needed, per LST and per band, by folding in science subarray performance, maintenance activities, and calibration overheads.

Regarding technical needs, they were summarized in the Science Use Cases table in the Cost Model spreadsheet, with the latest update occurring on 2018-11-06. The technical needs are augmented with the sensitivity requirements quoted in submitted use cases or in later presentations or chapters of the ngVLA Science Book (Murphy 2018).

Regarding subarray time needs, the first step is to assign a science subarray to a use case by matching the case’s required band, angular resolution, bandwidth, and sensitivity to the entries in the subarray performance tables. The tabulated sensitivity is then adjusted for antennas missing from the subarray due to maintenance activities. Next, the adjusted sensitivity is used to estimate T_{target} . If more than one subarray can meet the use case requirements, the subarray that will minimize T_{target} is generally picked. Then the calibration overhead *Ove* is applied to estimate $T_{subarray}$ and a suggestion is made regarding how to distribute that needed time over LSTs. Finally, the needed time is assigned to Year 1, 2, or 3, and added to the respective Figure 1, Figure 2, or Figure 3.



Title: Reference Observing Program	Owner: Wrobel	Date: 2019-05-20
NRAO Doc. #: 020.10.15.05.10-0001-REP		Version: A

After completing this exercise for each of the driving use cases of the KSGs, the time needed by the ROP will be captured in Figure 1, Figure 2, and Figure 3. These figures are not classic pressure plots because time needs that overlap in LST are not being stacked.

Evaluating the viability of the ROP involves comparing its time needed per LST per band to the time available per LST per band. Estimates for the times available are provided for the months of Jan-Feb-Mar (Q1), Apr-May-Jun (Q2), Jul-Aug-Sep (Q3), and Oct-Nov-Dec (Q4). Separate estimates are provided for frequencies in Band 6 below and above 95 GHz, notionally labeled as Band 6a and 6b, respectively. The times needed during Years 1, 2, and 3 are thus separated into those four quarters and seven effective bands. Also, for each quarter, times available are adjusted by the science efficiency assumed for the “learning” years before adding those times to Figures 1, 2, and 3.

Two weather and sky bottlenecks are anticipated, namely KSG1 and KSG3 each need Band 6b, and KSG2, KSG4, and KSG5 each need Galactic Center LSTs. Staggering their pilots quarterly could help to mitigate these bottlenecks. Some degradation of weather conditions beyond the Plains of San Agustin is also anticipated. To adapt, the ROP will be on the lookout for use cases that involve point-like targets and low frequencies, and will consider forcing such cases onto the Mid-baseline subarray.

ngVLA Reference Observing Program for Year 1




Figure 1 - Comparing the times needed (histograms) to the times available (lines) in Year 1 of the Reference Observing Program. The colors encode the bands and apply to both histograms and lines. The abscissa is the Local Sidereal Time for the Core subarray. The ordinate is the number of LST passes needed vs available. Three months offer no more than 6000 h / (4*24 h) passes, thus ~62 passes.



Title: Reference Observing Program	Owner: Wrobel	Date: 2019-05-20
NRAO Doc. #: 020.10.15.05.10-0001-REP		Version: A

ngVLA Reference Observing Program for Year 2




Figure 2 - Comparing the times needed (histograms) to the times available (lines) in Year 2 of the Reference Observing Program. The colors encode the bands and apply to both histograms and lines. The abscissa is the Local Sidereal Time for the Core subarray. The ordinate is the number of LST passes needed vs available. Three months offer no more than $6000 \text{ h} / (4 * 24 \text{ h})$ passes, thus ~ 62 passes.

Title: Reference Observing Program	Owner: Wrobel	Date: 2019-05-20
NRAO Doc. #: 020.10.15.05.10-0001-REP		Version: A

ngVLA Reference Observing Program for Year 3




Figure 3 - Comparing the times needed (histograms) to the times available (lines) in Year 3 of the Reference Observing Program. The colors encode the bands and apply to both histograms and lines. The abscissa is the Local Sidereal Time for the Core subarray. The ordinate is the number of LST passes needed vs available. Three months offer no more than $6000 \text{ h} / (4 \times 24 \text{ h})$ passes, thus ~ 62 passes.

3.1 KSGI: Unveiling the Formation of Solar System Analogs on Terrestrial Scales

The PF3 use cases, as updated by Ricci et al. (2018), require continuum observations at full bandwidths in Bands 6b and 4, plus a matched angular resolution of about 5 mas. Because the imaging performance tables are quantized in terms of tapered and robustly weighted resolutions (Rosero 2019), the ROP adopts 10 mas for the Main subarray. The science targets are individual protoplanetary disks. Ricci et al. (2018) suggest starting in Taurus at a distance of 140 pc, with ~ 100 disks in Band 6b and the brighter disks in Band 4. For such disks, the required rms sensitivities are 0.5 microjy/beam in Band 6b and 0.07 microjy/beam in Band 4. A pilot is assigned in Taurus, implying right ascension $RA \sim 4.5 \text{ h}$, declination $Dec \sim +18 \text{ degrees } (d)$, and a midnight transit near December 1st.

For Year 1, 15 looks in Band 6b are suggested to pursue demographics and movies. The time per look on a science target is $T_{\text{target}} \sim 3.9 \text{ h}$. The observing time needed is $T_{\text{subarray}} \sim 15 * 3.9 \text{ h} * (1+2.0) \sim 176 \text{ h}$, preferably discharged within $\pm 3 \text{ h}$ near transit at night. Both Q1 and Q4 offer nighttime transits. The suggestion, then, is to conduct ten looks that need $T_{\text{subarray}} \sim 117 \text{ h}$ in Q4 and five looks that need $T_{\text{subarray}} \sim 59 \text{ h}$ in Q1, as conveyed in Figure 1.



Title: Reference Observing Program	Owner: Wrobel	Date: 2019-05-20
NRAO Doc. #: 020.10.15.05.10-0001-REP		Version: A

It is suggested that Year 2 be like Year 1 in Band 6b and also explore four disks in Band 4. In Band 4, the time per disk on a science target is $T_{target} \sim 12.9 h$ and the net observing time needed is $T_{subarray} \sim 4 * 12.9 h * (1+1.5) \sim 129 h$. The bands' needs should be discharged within $\pm 3 h$ near transit at night, with Band 6b split between Q1 and Q4 as for Year 1 and with Band 4 placed fully in Q4. See Figure 2.

Year 3 should be like Year 2. See Figure 3.

3.2 KSG2: Probing the Initial Conditions for Planetary Systems and Life with Astrochemistry

The AC5 use cases involve a blind search across Bands 5, 4, and 3 for molecular gas in the hot cores Sgr B2(N) at $RA \sim 18 h$ and $Dec \sim -28 d$, and IRAS 16293 at $RA \sim 16.5 h$ and $Dec \sim -24 d$. Spanning Bands 5, 4, and 3 requires five, three, and two frequency settings. Each band requires the same tapered and robustly weighted angular resolution of 100 mas. The rms sensitivity required for each band is said to be 30 microJy/beam/(0.1 km/s). But the predictions invoke spectral resolutions of 0.3 or 0.6 km/s and times per frequency setting of $\sim 10 h$ in Band 5, 1 h in Band 4, and 1 h in Band 3 (AC5, McGuire et al. 2018).

The Main subarray is assigned and these per-setting times are adjusted for missing antennas. Band 5 requires five settings, takes $T_{target} \sim 55 h$, and needs $T_{subarray} \sim 55 h * (1+1.0) \sim 110 h$. Band 4 requires three settings, takes $T_{target} \sim 3.3 h$, and needs $T_{subarray} \sim 3.3 h * (1+1.0) \sim 6.6 h$. Band 3 requires two settings, takes $T_{target} \sim 2.2 h$, and needs $T_{subarray} \sim 2.2 h * (1+0.5) \sim 3.3 h$. These needs total $\sim 120 h$ and should be discharged within $\pm 3 h$ near transit during nights in Q2.

Year 1 should involve observing Sgr B2(N). The times needed are conveyed in Figure 1.

Year 2 should involve observing IRAS 16293. The times needed are conveyed in Figure 2.

This completes KSG2.

3.3 KSG3: Charting the Assembly, Structure, and Evolution of Galaxies from the First Billion Years to the Present

3.3.1 Blind Search for CO Galaxies at High Redshift

The HiZ1 use cases require pointed mosaics for a blind search for CO across Bands 5, 4 and 3. Each band requires the same tapered and robustly weighted angular resolution of 1000 mas and rms sensitivity of 10 microJy/beam/(2 MHz). The science targets are CO galaxies at high redshift. The use case suggests reaching ~ 100 galaxies per pointing and eventually reaching ~ 1000 galaxies for the completed mosaic. The Plains + Core subarray is assigned, as is a pilot in COSMOS, implying $RA \sim 10 h$, $Dec \sim 0 d$, and a midnight transit near March 1st. One pointing per band per year is suggested.

For Year 1, the times on science targets are $T_{target} \sim 41.9 h$ in Band 5, $T_{target} \sim 12.8 h$ in Band 4, and $T_{target} \sim 6.2 h$ in Band 3. The observing times needed are $T_{subarray} \sim 41.9 h * (1+0.75) \sim 73.3 h$ in Band 5, $T_{subarray} \sim 12.8 h * (1+0.75) \sim 22.4 h$ in Band 4, and $T_{subarray} \sim 6.2 h * (1+0.5) \sim 9.3 h$ in Band 3. These needs total $\sim 105 h$ and should be discharged within $\pm 3 h$ near transit during Q2 nights, as shown in Figure 1.

Year 2 should be like Year 1. See Figure 2.

Year 3 should be like Year 1. See Figure 3.

3.3.2 Imaging Molecular Gas in CO Galaxies at High Redshift

The HiZ5 use cases require imaging CO, HCO+, and HCN in galaxies at high redshift (z). Each transition requires the same tapered and robustly-weighted angular resolution of 100 mas and rms sensitivity of 10



Title: Reference Observing Program	Owner: Wrobel	Date: 2019-05-20
NRAO Doc. #: 020.10.15.05.10-0001-REP		Version: A

microJy/beam/(30 km/s). The science targets are two CO-discovered galaxies at $z \sim 2.5$. The Main subarray is assigned, as is a pilot on the Spiderweb Galaxy (Emonts et al. 2016), implying $RA \sim 11.5 h$, $Dec \sim -26 d$, and a midnight transit near April 1st. The Spiderweb Galaxy is at $z \sim 2.2$ so its transitions for CO, HCO+, and HCN appear in Bands 6a, 5, and 4.

For Year 1, the times on the science target are $T_{target} \sim 37.6 h$ in Band 6a, $T_{target} \sim 9.5 h$ in Band 5, and $T_{target} \sim 4.8 h$ in Band 4. The observing times needed are $T_{subarray} \sim 37.6 h * (1+1.5) \sim 94 h$ in Band 6a, $T_{subarray} \sim 9.5 h * (1+1.0) \sim 19 h$ in Band 5, and $T_{subarray} \sim 4.8 h * (1+1.0) \sim 9.6 h$ in Band 4. These needs total $\sim 123 h$ and should be discharged within $\pm 3 h$ near transit during Q1 nights, as shown in Figure 1.

The second science target could eventually be identified from the COSMOS pilot.

3.3.3 Imaging Molecular Gas in Nearby Galaxies

The NGA8 use case requires imaging molecular gas in nearby galaxies in Band 6b at a tapered and robustly weighted angular resolution of 100 mas. Ideally, each galaxy would be mosaicked with tens to hundreds of pointings. A. Leroy (2018, private communication) suggests starting with the CO-brightest galaxies, an ALMA-like spectral resolution of 2 km/s, and a rms sensitivity of 0.75 K. The Plains + Core subarray is assigned, as is a pilot on a Virgo Cluster galaxy, implying $RA \sim 12.5 h$, $Dec \sim +12 d$, and a midnight transit near April 15th. Three pointings per year are suggested.

For Year 1, the time per pointing on a science target is $T_{target} \sim 17.6 h$. The observing time needed is $T_{subarray} \sim 3 * 17.6 h * (1+1.5) \sim 132 h$ and should be discharged within $\pm 3 h$ near transit during Q1 nights, as conveyed in Figure 1.

Year 2 should be like Year 1. See Figure 2.

Year 3 should be like Year 1. See Figure 3.

Notably, only one galaxy will have been partly done by the end of the pilot. This key science will thus experience slow progress.

3.3.4 HI Emission from Nearby Galaxies

This NGA2 use case requires imaging HI emission from nearby galaxies in Band 1 at a tapered and robustly-weighted angular resolution of 1000 mas and rms sensitivity of 50 microJy/beam/(1 km/s). The Plains + Core subarray is assigned, as is a pilot in the circumpolar M81 Group. Two pointings per year are suggested.

For Year 1, the time per pointing on a science target is $T_{target} \sim 88.6 h$. The observing time needed is $T_{subarray} \sim 2 * 88.6 h * (1+0.2) \sim 213 h$ and it could be discharged within $\pm 4.5 h$ near transit during Q3, as conveyed in Figure 1.

Year 2 should be like Year 1. See Figure 2.

Year 3 should be like Year 1. See Figure 3.

3.3.5 HI Emission Around Nearby Galaxies

This NGA2 use case requires pointed mosaics of HI emission around nearby galaxies in Band 1 at a tapered and robustly-weighted angular resolution of 60,000 mas. Pisano et al. (2018) state a required column density of 10^{17} cm^{-2} and a spectral resolution of 10 km/s, and say these measurements correspond to using the Core subarray for 600 h. After adjusting for missing antennas, the time on the science target becomes $T_{target} \sim 660 h$. One pointing in the M81 Group is assigned as a pilot.



Title: Reference Observing Program	Owner: Wrobel	Date: 2019-05-20
NRAO Doc. #: 020.10.15.05.10-0001-REP		Version: A

For Year 1, the time on the science target is $T_{target} \sim 220$ h. The observing time needed is $T_{subarray} \sim 220$ h $\times (1+0.2) \sim 264$ h and it could be discharged within ± 4.5 h near transit during Q3, as conveyed in Figure 1. This need is labeled “Core” to signal significant opportunities for co-observing with other subarrays.

Year 2 should be like Year 1. See Figure 2.

Year 3 should be like Year 1. See Figure 3.

3.4 KSG4: Using Pulsars in the Galactic Center as Fundamental Tests of Gravity

One TDCPI use case requires continuum observations in Band 3 at full bandwidth to search for pulsars within 500 mas around Sgr A* at RA ~ 18 h and Dec ~ -29 d. Ten phased-array beams are required simultaneously. The phasing-up facilitates signal conditioning for pulsars. As updated by Ransom & Demorest (2018), each phased-array beam will offer a tapered, robustly-weighted resolution near 20 mas. The total number of such phased-array beams needed is $(\pi * 500^2 \text{ mas}^2) / (1.1331 * 20^2 \text{ mas}^2) \sim 1730$. To ease data handling, the team will settle for the rms sensitivity delivered by the Main subarray after a 6-h track. A 26% pilot of 450 phased-array beams is assigned. The calibration overhead is a modest $Ove \sim 0.1$, because every 300 s the phasing can be touched up with a 30-s observation on Sgr A* (cf. Ku Band in the A configuration of the VLA).

For Year 1, 150 phased-array beams need $T_{subarray} \sim 15 * 6 \text{ h} * (1+0.1) \sim 99$ h. This should be discharged in Q4 in the LST range 14.5 h to 21 h, as conveyed in Figure 1.

Year 2 should be like Year 1. See Figure 2.

Year 3 should be like Year 1. See Figure 3.

If any pulsars are found, timing them is required. Such follow-up needs cannot yet be estimated.

Another TDCPI use case involves a pulsar search on degree scales in the inner Galaxy. Data from a black hole search, described below, could be shared for a use case for KSG5.

3.5 KSG5: Understanding the Formation and Evolution of Stellar and Supermassive Black Holes in the Era of Multi-Messenger Astronomy

3.5.1 Localize a LIGO Event

The TDCP2 use case requires full-bandwidth continuum observations in Band 1 to localize a LIGO event at a tapered and robustly weighted angular resolution of 1000 mas, and rms sensitivity of ~ 1 microJy/beam. Two looks are required to localize the LIGO transient. Completing each look in ~ 10 h is desirable. Each look involves on-the-fly mosaicking over an area of ~ 7 square degrees (Nissanke et al. 2013). The total number of primary beams to be covered is thus ~ 7 square degrees / $(0.5665 * 0.41^2)$ square degrees ~ 74 . Assigning the Main subarray for an effective $T_{target} \sim 0.1$ h per primary beam, the mosaic will be completed in ~ 10 h to a rms sensitivity of ~ 1.8 microJy/beam. Corsi et al. (2018) suggest eventually triggering on ≥ 10 events per year. A pilot of eight events per year is assigned.

For Year 1, its eight events need $T_{subarray} \sim 8 * 2 * 7.4 \text{ h} * (1+0.2) \sim 142$ h. Two events per quarter are suggested. The areal density of known galaxies that might host LIGO events is generally higher toward the northern Galactic cap than the southern one (Daly et al. 2018). Figure 1 thus notionally centers each 8.9-h track at an LST of 13 h, the RA of the North Galactic Pole.

Year 2 should be like Year 1. See Figure 2.

Year 3 should be like Year 1. See Figure 3.



Title: Reference Observing Program	Owner: Wrobel	Date: 2019-05-20
NRAO Doc. #: 020.10.15.05.10-0001-REP		Version: A

3.5.2 Proper Motion of a LIGO Event

Once a LIGO event has been localized, some follow-up will ensue. For example, it may be desirable to constrain the event's proper motion. This TDCP8-inspired use case was quantified by T. Maccarone (2018, private communication). It requires full-bandwidth continuum observations with the LBA subarray near 10 GHz with an angular resolution of 0.6 mas and rms sensitivity of ~ 0.23 microJy/beam. At least two looks are required to constrain a proper motion. Band 3 and natural weighting leads to $T_{\text{target}} \sim 58.7$ h per look. For phase referencing in the nodding style, $Ove \sim 1.0$ is adopted (Wrobel et al. 2000). A pilot is assigned to follow two events per year.

For Year 1, following up two events needs $T_{\text{subarray}} \sim 2 * 2 * 58.7 \text{ h} * (1+1.0) \sim 470 \text{ h}$. This LBA subarray can co-observe with a driving use case involving any subarray in Figure 1, even the Main subarray.

Year 2 should be like Year 1; co-observing can occur with any subarray in Figure 2

Year 3 should be like Year 1; co-observing can occur with any subarray in Figure 3.

3.5.3 Localize a LISA Event

This TDCP5-inspired use case was roughly quantified in Bolatto et al. (2017). It requires full-bandwidth continuum observations in Band 4 to localize a LISA event at a tapered and robustly-weighted angular resolution of 1000 mas, and rms sensitivity of ~ 10 microJy/beam. Two looks are required to identify the LISA transient. Completing each look in ~ 10 h is desirable. Each look involves on-the-fly mosaicking over an area of ~ 10 square degrees (Lang & Hughes 2008). The total number of primary beams to be covered is thus $\sim 10 \text{ square degrees} / (0.5665 * 0.037^2) \text{ square degrees} \sim 10^4$. Assigning the Plains + Core subarray for an effective $T_{\text{target}} \sim 0.0001 \text{ h}$ per primary beam, the mosaic will be completed in ~ 10 h to a rms sensitivity of ~ 14 microJy/beam. Bolatto et al. (2017) mention that LISA will detect tens to hundreds of events per year. A pilot of eight events per year is assigned. LISA is expected to begin issuing event alerts in 2036, hence Year 3. LISA plans to be issuing alerts for at least four years; however, alerts could possibly occur for up to ten years (Amaro-Seoane et al. 2017).

For Year 3, its eight events need $T_{\text{subarray}} \sim 8 * 2 * 10 \text{ h} * (1+1.5) \sim 400 \text{ h}$. Two events per quarter are suggested. Radio counterparts to LISA events will be at cosmological distances, implying a uniform areal density. For want of a better approach, the needed times are spread across all LSTs in Figure 3.

3.5.4 Search for Black Holes and Pulsars in the Galactic Center

This search is in preparation for follow-up use cases for KSG4 and KSG5 in the Galactic Center.

Focusing on KSG5, the use case is mentioned in Bolatto et al. (2017) and quantified in Maccarone et al. (2018). The latter authors assume that recording media will be available, enabling a first correlation to refine positions of candidate black holes and a second correlation at those refined positions to initiate a proper motion study. However, such a dual-correlation mode is not planned. The lead author was consulted and agreed to revise their search approach as outlined below. This revised approach for KSG5 could also accommodate the search for KSG4 that was roughly quantified in the use case TDCP1.

The search requires full-bandwidth continuum observations in Band 2 to localize persistent sources with a tapered and robustly-weighted angular resolution of 1000 mas, and rms sensitivity of ~ 1 microJy/beam. Flat-spectrum sources found over an area of ~ 10 square degrees will become follow-up candidates for black hole proper motions for KSG5. Steep-spectrum sources found over a smaller area, ~ 4 square degrees defined by the Central Molecular Zone, will become follow-up candidates for pulsar timing for KSG4. The search will employ on-the-fly mosaicking.

A substantial pilot covering ~ 12 square degrees would help jumpstart the follow-up for KSG5. The total number of primary beams to be covered is thus $\sim 12 \text{ square degrees} / (0.5665 * 0.12^2) \text{ square degrees} \sim$



Title: Reference Observing Program	Owner: Wrobel	Date: 2019-05-20
NRAO Doc. #: 020.10.15.05.10-0001-REP		Version: A

1500. The Plains + Core subarray is assigned for an effective $T_{target} \sim 0.25$ h per primary beam to achieve the required sensitivity.

For Year 1, to cover ~ 4 square degrees or ~ 500 primary beams $T_{subarray} \sim 500 * 0.25$ h $*$ $(1+0.35) \sim 169$ h is needed. This should be discharged in the LST range 14.5 h to 21 h in Q3, as conveyed in Figure 1. This would formally complete the pulsar search on degree scales for KSG4.

Year 2 should be like Year 1. See Figure 2.

Year 3 should be like Year 1. See Figure 3.

3.5.5 Time Pulsars for the Pulsar Timing Array

This TDCP7-inspired use case was further quantified by Chatterjee et al. (2018). It involves Band 1 or 2, and can involve as little as one-fifth of the Main subarray. Each subarray will be phased up to facilitate signal conditioning for pulsars. There are ~ 200 pulsars currently known north of $Dec \sim -40$ d and the team would be willing to observe each of them for ~ 0.5 h per week in a one-fifth subarray. This case is assigned the Mid-baseline subarray: its 46 antennas comprise a one-fifth subarray and it is a good match to the point-like pulsars. A pilot of ten northern pulsars is assigned. The tapered and robustly-weighted angular resolution could be 100 mas in Band 1 or 2, but it will be finer in real time because the subarray will be phased up.

For Year 1, these ten pulsars need $T_{subarray} \sim 52 * 10 * 0.5$ h $*$ $(1+0.2) \sim 312$ h. This Mid-baseline subarray can co-observe with a use case involving a Plains + Core subarray in Figure 1.

Year 2 should be like Year 1; co-observing can occur with a Plains + Core sub-array in Figure 2.

Year 3 should be like Year 1; co-observing can occur with a Plains + Core sub-array in Figure 3.

4 Evaluation of the Reference Observing Program

This document developed a notional observing program of three-year pilots for the driving use cases of KSG1 through KSG5. It was found that the driving use cases for KSG2 could be completed after only two years. It was also found that significant progress could be made discharging all but one of the many driving use cases for KSG1, KSG3, KSG4 and KSG5. As noted in KSG3's Section 3.3.3, that single exception occurs because only one galaxy will have been partially completed during its pilot, whereas the aspiration is to observe many galaxies eventually. The driving use case in Section 3.3.3 would thus experience slow science progress.

For optimal efficiency, a driving use case involving the Mid-baseline subarray can co-observe with a driving use case involving the Plains + Core subarray. Similarly, an LBA subarray can co-observe with a driving use case involving, for example, the Main subarray. Skipping over such Mid-baseline and LBA use, the $T_{subarray}$ totals for key science are 1549 h in Year 1, 1556 h in Year 2, and 1836 h in Year 3. These values approach the ~ 2000 h per year mentioned in Section 2. The desired balance of ≥ 4000 h per year would thus be available for open science. But if key science is discharged first, a worry is that not many hours would be left for open science in Bands 6a or 6b.

5 Next Steps

Only preliminary estimates for calibration overheads were available. It would be desirable to gain access to study-based estimates applicable to each science subarray.



Title: Reference Observing Program	Owner: Wrobel	Date: 2019-05-20
NRAO Doc. #: 020.10.15.05.10-0001-REP		Version: A

The preliminary estimates for the available science time per LST per band involve only phase stability data on the Plains of San Agustin. It would be desirable to gain access to estimates that fold in wind and opacity data on the Plains, and also to fold in phase-stability, wind, and opacity data beyond the Plains.

The authors should re-read the ngVLA Science Book (Murphy 2018) cover to cover to ensure that this document has captured updates, if any, to the sensitivity requirements quoted in the driving use cases.



Title: Reference Observing Program	Owner: Wrobel	Date: 2019-05-20
NRAO Doc. #: 020.10.15.05.10-0001-REP		Version: A

6 Appendix

6.1 Acknowledgments

V. Rosero and B. Butler are thanked for providing essential information in advance of its publication.

R. Selina is thanked for providing helpful feedback on drafts of this document.

6.2 Reference Documents

Amaro-Seoane, P., et al., 2017arXiv170200786A

Bolatto, A., et al. 2017, ngVLA Memo 19

Butler, B., 2019, ngVLA Memo, draft of 2019-01-18

Chatterjee, S., et al. 2018, ngVLA Memo 42

Corsi, A., et al. 2018, Science with a Next Generation Very Large Array, ASP Monograph 7, 689

Dalya, G., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 479, 2374

Emonts, B., et al. 2016, *Science*, 354, 1128

Lang, R., & Hughes, S. 2008, *ApJ*, 677, 1184

Maccarone, T., et al. 2018, Science with a Next Generation Very Large Array, ASP Monograph 7, 409

McGuire, B., et al. 2018, Science with a Next Generation Very Large Array, ASP Monograph 7, 245

Murphy, E., 2018, Science with a Next Generation Very Large Array, ASP Monograph 7

Murphy, E., et al. 2018, NRAO Doc. # 020.10.15.00-0001-REQ

Nissanke, S., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 767, 124

Pisano, D., et al. 2018, Science with a Next Generation Very Large Array, ASP Monograph 7, 471

Ransom, S., & Demorest, P. 2018, ngVLA Memo, draft of 2018-01-05

Ricci, L., et al. 2018, Science with a Next Generation Very Large Array, ASP Monograph 7, 147

Rosero, V., 2019, ngVLA Memo 55

Selina, R., et al. 2018a, NRAO Doc. # 020.10.20.00.00-0001-REP

Selina, R., et al. 2018b, NRAO Doc. # 020.10.15.10.00-0003-SPE

Selina, R., et al. 2018c, NRAO Doc. # 020.10.15.10.00-0001-SPE

Wrobel, J., et al. 2000, VLBA Scientific Memo 24